

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,951	10/24/2000	Eugenie Charriere	004900-188	8720
21839 75	90 09/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	, INGERSOLL & ROOM	SERGENT,	SERGENT, RABON A	
POST OFFICE ALEXANDRIA	BOX 1404 A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPE	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1711	
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/673,951	CHARRIERE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rabon Sergent	1711			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2006.				
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>24-42,44,46 and 52-58</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>40,44,46 and 52-58</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠						
7)						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
_	τ(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	<sup>3</sup> atent Application			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	. 6) 🔲 Other:				

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 13, 2006 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 24-39, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Despite applicants' remarks, the position is taken that the structural requirements of claim 26 do not mandate the presence of any functional groups, since no R groups are required to contain active or functional isocyanate groups. Given this position, it is unclear how to interpret the "polyfunctional" or "polyfunctional isocyanate(s)" language within "tricondensate polyfunctional reaction product" (claims 24 and 25), "tricondensate polyfunctional isocyanates reaction products" (claims 25 and 29), and "tricondensate polyfunctional isocyanate reaction product" (claim 26). It is unclear if these products are required to contain active or functional isocyanate groups.

Within claims 25 and 29, the language, "tricondensate polyfunctional isocyanates reaction products", is ambiguous in view of the plural "isocyanates" language. Also, with respect to claim 29, this language lacks antecedent basis from claim 24.

Application/Control Number: 09/673,951

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

Within claim 26, the language, "tricondensate polyfunctional isocyanate reaction product", lacks antecedent basis from claim 24. It is presumed that the language refers to the reaction product of step a) of claim 24.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent September 25, 2006 RABON SERGENT RIMARY EXAMINER